

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
Vivienne Fraser	HRZ - High Density Residential Zone /Objectives /HRZ-O3: Quality living environments	265.1	Oppose	<p>Opposes the plan and high and medium density zones. Notes that growth is estimated at 0.6 - 0.8%. Considers that all of the proposals need to be halted or drastically amended to retain the basic rights and needs of every person, and that the plan removes basic and core rights. Considers that there is a lack of supportive infrastructure for increased population density. Considers there is a greater risk of flooding due to larger catchment area and inadequate infrastructure. Notes that previous flood incidents highlight the need for infrastructure upgrades. Considers removal of trees and grass contradicts efforts to reduce carbon emissions. Considers that the basic rights impacted by high-density housing including consent of homeowners regarding adjacent property development, preservation of property value and avoidance of negative impacts on resale, rights to personal space, privacy, quietness, and unobstructed sunlight, preservation of peaceful and restful living conditions, including noise level considerations. and ensuring adequate space for children to play and feel safe. Notes the reasons to limit or reduce medium and high-density housing including:</p> <p>High concentrations of people can lead to social issues such as crime and hopelessness. Concerns about mental health, wellbeing, anxiety, and safety in densely populated areas. Lack of sunlight affects both residents and gardens, impacting health. Decreased land value can lead to feelings of entrapment and decreased mobility. Noise pollution and safety concerns contribute to declining neighborhood quality. Impact on city aesthetics, tourism, and overall appeal.</p> <p>Considers suggestions for alternative spatial planning directives and considerations for citizen input. Refers to examples and evidence of negative effects of high-density housing where instances from other countries and local areas where high-density housing has led to social decline. Notes Specific examples from Rotorua, Auckland, and Napier highlight crime, safety, and economic concerns. Also notes that impact on mental and physical health, safety, and property values and the negative effects on schools, businesses, and community safety. Refer to original submission for full reasons.</p>	Seeks a halt to plan in Napier / Hastings like Christchurch, more public consultation and public meetings, transparency, and retention of earthquake regulations, while imposing restrictions on building height in residential zones. Refer to original submissions for full details.
Vivienne Fraser	MRZ - Medium Density Residential Zone /Objectives /MRZ-O2: Community wellbeing	265.2	Oppose	<p>Opposes the plan and high and medium density zones. Notes that growth is estimated at 0.6 - 0.8%. Considers that all of the proposals need to be halted or drastically amended to retain the basic rights and needs of every person, and that the plan removes basic and core rights. Considers that there is a lack of supportive infrastructure for increased population density. Considers there is a greater risk of flooding due to larger catchment area and inadequate infrastructure. Notes that previous flood incidents highlight the need for infrastructure upgrades. Considers removal of trees and grass contradicts efforts to reduce carbon emissions. Considers that the basic rights impacted by high-density housing including consent of homeowners regarding adjacent property development, preservation of property value and avoidance of negative impacts on resale, rights to personal space, privacy, quietness, and unobstructed sunlight, preservation of peaceful and restful living conditions, including noise level considerations. and ensuring adequate space for children to play and feel safe. Notes the reasons to limit or reduce medium and high-density housing including:</p> <p>-High concentrations of people can lead to social issues such as crime and hopelessness. -Concerns about mental health, wellbeing, anxiety, and safety in densely populated areas. -Lack of sunlight affects both residents and gardens, impacting health. -Decreased land value can lead to feelings of entrapment and decreased mobility. -Noise pollution and safety concerns contribute to declining neighborhood quality. -Impact on city aesthetics, tourism, and overall appeal.</p> <p>Considers suggestions for alternative spatial planning directives and considerations for citizen input. Refers to examples and evidence of negative effects of high-density housing where instances from other countries and local areas where high-density housing has led to social decline. Notes Specific examples from Rotorua, Auckland, and Napier highlight crime, safety, and economic concerns. Also notes that impact on mental and physical health, safety, and property values and the negative effects on schools, businesses, and community safety. Refer to original submission for full reasons.</p>	Seeks a halt to plan in Napier/Hastings like Christchurch, more public consultation and public meetings, transparency, and retention of earthquake regulations, while imposing restrictions on building height in residential zones. Refer to original submissions for full details