

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
Robin David Gwynn	TPT - Transport /Introduction /	262.1	Oppose	Submitter provides feedback on the nature and usability of the plan, including the need for specified time period and pagination. Notes the negative impact of the current state of road infrastructure in Napier, particularly regarding the increased use of "sleeping policemen" and the replacement of old parking meters. Questions the cost and guidelines for installing "sleeping policemen" and requests clarification on the total expenditure. Considers the number and nature of "sleeping policemen," to be excessive, counterproductive, and do not contribute to a quality living environment, especially for older residents. Notes the impact of road changes on elderly and disabled residents, emphasizing the need for road infrastructure to accommodate the safety and well-being of all citizens. Considers the negative impact of multiple "sleeping policemen" on public health and community well-being, and that rates should not be spent on infrastructure causing distress to older individuals. Notes concerns about traffic congestion at specific intersections and suggests a review of traffic light timings. Considers that the plan fails to achieve TPT-O3; that our integrated transport network should 'minimise effects on public health and community wellbeing' due to increase use of sleeping policemen in the roading network. Also considers that that plan also fails to achieve TPT-P1 'contributing to the health, safety and well-being of the community' for these reasons. Refer to original submission for full reasons.	Submitter provides a summary of the issues highlighted and considers that there has not been enough consultation and discussion before actions have been taken. Notes the priority of climate change matters, followed by the future of the Cathedral. Notes the roading and traffic issues discussed. Considers that these challenges need thought, prayer, joint action and new approaches. No provision-specific relief sought. Refer to original submission for full details.
Robin David Gwynn	SCHED3 - Historic heritage items /SCHED3 - Heritage Items Schedule /12 St John the Evangelist/Waiapu Cathedral, Cathedral of Saint John the Evangelist 28 Browning Street Town Section 130, 131,132 Napier, Lot 1 DP 4224, Town Section 751 Napier A	262.2	Oppose	Notes concern about the future of the Cathedral in Napier, highlighting frequent changes to engineering requirements and escalating costs. Notes parallels with the situation faced by Christchurch Cathedral and emphasizes the close connection between Napier's identity and its cathedral. Considers that there is a lack of consultation with the public regarding the Cathedral's heritage status and the absence of input from regular users of the building. Notes the disparity in population sizes between Christchurch and Napier and questions the financial sustainability of maintaining the cathedral. Seeks a collaborative approach involving the Council, churches, Regional Council, and citizens to find the best solution for the Cathedral and the city. Considers the importance of addressing climate change and suggests the Cathedral could lead by example, potentially through the installation of solar panels. Considers that the recent decisions by the Napier City Council are disappointing and warns of the potential consequences of neglecting the Cathedral, emphasizing the need for a common vision and city-wide approach to safeguard its future. Refer to original submission for full reasons.	Seeks a collaborative approach involving the Council, churches, Regional Council, and citizens to find the best solution for the Cathedral and the city. Submitter provides a summary of the issues highlighted and considers that there has not been enough consultation and discussion before actions have been taken. Notes the priority of climate change matters, followed by the future of the Cathedral. Notes the roading and traffic issues discussed. Considers that these challenges need thought, prayer, joint action and new approaches. No provision-specific relief sought. Refer to original submission for full details.
Robin David Gwynn	SD - Sustainability, Resilience, and Climate Change /Sustainability, Resilience, and Climate Change	262.3	Oppose	Notes concern about climate change among consumers, corporations, and residents, citing surveys and news reports. Notes the importance of addressing climate change as a major issue affecting every part of the city. Shares personal experience of encountering Cyclone Gabrielle and witnessing its impact, noting the urgency of the climate crisis. Considers that there is a lack of meaningful policies from political parties to counter the threat of climate change. Questions the actions and plans of the Napier City Council in response to climate change, regarding carbon emissions reduction, renewable energy adoption, waste management, and coordination with other organizations. Seeks transparency and accountability from the council regarding its efforts to address climate change and requests a statement on its progress in this regard. Refer to original submission for full reasons.	Seeks transparency and accountability from the council regarding its efforts to address climate change and requests a statement on its progress in this regard. Submitter provides a summary of the issues highlighted and considers that there has not been enough consultation and discussion before actions have been taken. Notes the priority of climate change matters, followed by the future of the Cathedral. Notes the roading and traffic issues discussed. Considers that these challenges need thought, prayer, joint action and new approaches. No provision-specific relief sought. Refer to original submission for full details.
Robin David Gwynn	TPT - Transport /Objectives /TPT-O3: Public health and community wellbeing	262.4	Oppose	Submitter provides feedback on the nature and usability of the plan, including the need for specified time period and pagination. Notes the negative impact of the current state of road infrastructure in Napier, particularly regarding the increased use of "sleeping policemen" and the replacement of old parking meters. Questions the cost and guidelines for installing "sleeping policemen" and requests clarification on the total expenditure. Considers the number and nature of "sleeping policemen," to be excessive, counterproductive, and do not contribute to a quality living environment, especially for older residents. Notes the impact of road changes on elderly and disabled residents, emphasizing the need for road infrastructure to accommodate the safety and well-being of all citizens. Considers the negative impact of multiple "sleeping policemen" on public health and community well-being, and that rates should not be spent on infrastructure causing distress to older individuals. Notes concerns about traffic congestion at specific intersections and suggests a review of traffic light timings. Considers that the plan fails to achieve TPT-O3; that our integrated transport network should 'minimise effects on public health and community wellbeing' due to increase use of sleeping policemen in the roading network. Also considers that that plan also fails to achieve TPT-P1 'contributing to the health, safety and well-being of the community' for these reasons. Refer to original submission for full reasons.	Submitter provides a summary of the issues highlighted and considers that there has not been enough consultation and discussion before actions have been taken. Notes the priority of climate change matters, followed by the future of the Cathedral. Notes the roading and traffic issues discussed. Considers that these challenges need thought, prayer, joint action and new approaches. No provision-specific relief sought. Refer to original submission for full details.

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
Robin David Gwynn	TPT - Transport /Policies /TPT-P1: Roads and connections	262.5	Oppose	<p>Submitter provides feedback on the nature and usability of the plan, including the need for specified time period and pagination. Notes the negative impact of the current state of road infrastructure in Napier, particularly regarding the increased use of "sleeping policemen" and the replacement of old parking meters. Questions the cost and guidelines for installing "sleeping policemen" and requests clarification on the total expenditure. Considers the number and nature of "sleeping policemen," to be excessive, counterproductive, and do not contribute to a quality living environment, especially for older residents. Notes the impact of road changes on elderly and disabled residents, emphasizing the need for road infrastructure to accommodate the safety and well-being of all citizens. Considers the negative impact of multiple "sleeping policemen" on public health and community well-being, and that rates should not be spent on infrastructure causing distress to older individuals. Notes concerns about traffic congestion at specific intersections and suggests a review of traffic light timings. Considers that the plan fails to achieve TPT-O3; that our integrated transport network should 'minimise effects on public health and community wellbeing' due to increase use of sleeping policemen in the roading network. Also considers that that plan also fails to achieve TPT-P1 'contributing to the health, safety and well-being of the community' for these reasons. Refer to original submission for full reasons.</p>	<p>Submitter provides a summary of the issues highlighted and considers that there has not been enough consultation and discussion before actions have been taken. Notes the priority of climate change matters, followed by the future of the Cathedral. Notes the roading and traffic issues discussed. Considers that these challenges need thought, prayer, joint action and new approaches. No provision-specific relief sought. Refer to original submission for full details.</p>