

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	GRZ - General Residential Zone /GRZ - General Residential Zone - Rules Table /GRZ-R9: Relocated buildings	225.1	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	LLRZ - Large Lot Residential Zone /LLRZ - Large Lot Residential Zone - Rules Table /LLRZ-R7: Relocated Buildings	225.2	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	MRZ - Medium Density Residential Zone /MRZ - Medium Density Residential Zone - Rules Table /MRZ-R5: Relocated buildings	225.3	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	HRZ - High Density Residential Zone /HRZ - High Density Residential Zone - Rules Table /HRZ-R5: Relocated buildings	225.4	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone /RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone - Rules Table /RLZ-R6: Relocated buildings	225.5	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be Discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	RPROZ - Rural Production Zone /RPROZ - Rural Production Zone - Rules Table /RPROZ-R13: Relocated buildings	225.6	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the relocated buildings activity PDP Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a Permitted Activity (like GRZ-R9) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee (like GRZ-R9) at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to the relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	SETZ - Settlement zone /SETZ - Settlement Zone - Rules Table /SETZ-R6: Relocated buildings	225.7	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	The Association seeks to amend the relocated building activity PDP rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity (like SETZ-R6) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	NCZ - Neighbourhood Centre Zone /NCZ - Neighbourhood Centre Zone - Rules Table /	225.8	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the NCZ Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones (including NCZ), excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /	225.9	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks for the LCZ Rules to be amended as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	LFRZ - Large Format Retail Zone /LFRZ - Large Format Retail Zone - Rules Table /	225.10	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the LFRZ Rule as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	MUZ - Mixed Use Zone /MUZ - Mixed Use Zone - Rules Table /MUZ-R2: Relocated Buildings	225.11	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks to amend the Relocated Buildings Activity Rules as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity (like MUZ) in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). iii. For relocated buildings in the Light Industrial Zone and General Industrial Zone, the further amendment of performance standards removing the need for a pre-inspection report and monitoring fee. Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	TCZ - Town Centre Zone /Rules /	225.12	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend the TCZ Rules as follows:</p> <p>a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies;</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	CCZ - City Centre Zone /CCZ - City Centre Zone - Rules /	225.13	Amend	The submitter considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend the CCZ Rules as follows:</p> <p>a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies;</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	LIZ - Light Industrial Zone /LIZ - Light Industrial Zone - Rules Table /	225.14	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend the LIZ Rules as follows:</p> <p>a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies;</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>iii. For relocated buildings in the Light Industrial Zone (LIZ) and General Industrial Zone (GIZ), the further amendment of performance standards removing the need for a pre-inspection report and monitoring fee.</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	GIZ - General Industrial Zone /GIZ - General Industrial Zone - Rules /	225.15	Amend	The submitter considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks that the GIZ Rules to be amended as follows:</p> <p>a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies;</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission);</p> <p>iii. For relocated buildings in the Light Industrial Zone (LIZ) and General Industrial Zone (GIZ), the further amendment of performance standards removing the need for a pre-inspection report and monitoring fee.</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone /NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone - Rules Table /NOSZ-R7: Relocated buildings	225.16	Support	The Association considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend as follows:</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones (including NCZ), the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	OSZ - Open Space Zone /OSZ - Open Space Zone - Rules Table /OSZ-R1A: Relocated buildings	225.17	Support	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the PDP, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend the OSZ - Open Space Zone Rules as follows:</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones (including OSZ), the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>
New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	SARZ - Sport and Active Recreation Zone /SARZ - Sport and Active Recreation Zone - Rules Table /SARZ-R2: Relocated buildings	225.18	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the PDP, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	<p>Seeks to amend the SARZ Rule as follows:</p> <p>b. For the relocated building rules in all zones (including SARZ), the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report;</p> <p>c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for:</p> <p>i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works;</p> <p>ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission).</p> <p>Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought.</p> <p>(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)</p>