

Submitter Name	Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary
Paul Eady	/General /General	220.1	Amend	<p>considers the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Increasing the population and development density of multiple-hazard prone areas increases the exposure to loss of life or damage to property. + Napier is a city that is arguably unique in New Zealand in terms of the number and nature of hazards it is exposed to, and the already foreseeable consequences of those hazards interacting with each other to inflict high and very-high consequences on its community and the environment the community creates around itself. + After the red-zoning experiences of Christchurch and the Gabrielle floods, Napier City Council must ask itself if enabling increased land-use in areas that have a likelihood of being significantly affected and even red-zoned in the future is a prudent path for the Council to take? + If the enablement proposed in the PDP is subsequently implemented, will the Council be able to demonstrate to a modern standard (one that is higher than the minimum compliance requirements of the RMA,) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. that it has properly and reasonably considered the co-interactions of Napier’s hazards’, 2. the reasonably expected consequences of those interactions on the community and environment, the degree of exposure of the community to those consequences, and 3. the likelihood of those consequences being experienced? + Will the Council also be able to demonstrate that after quantifying risk as outlined above, that it has taken reasonable steps to determine: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the level of risk to the community and environment is acceptable, 2. what controls and mitigation would be further required to reduce the risk to an acceptable and quantified level, 3. that economic and cost-benefit analysis has been undertaken and verification has been received that any control and mitigation measures can be implemented on an ongoing and fiscally sustainable basis for the expected future period of the proposed land utilisation 	<p>seeks that amendments be made based on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + a comprehensive community workshop with interested parties and <u>subject matter experts</u> be undertaken to properly assess climate change and natural hazards risks in a holistic manner, and that this workshop be formulated in line with the guidance provided by Urban Edge Planning et al. in 2019 (and the associated guidance materials referenced in the Urban Edge Planning report), and the preceding 2018 Tsunami Workshop. + the workshop include infrastructure and finance officers so that engineered risk controls and mitigations identified as desirous to enable land use are tested for feasibility in terms of practicality and affordability before being assumed to be able to be applied to enable the land use in the new district plan. + the new district plan clearly identify that any associated increase in land-use enablement be conditional on the engineered risk controls and mitigations being in place <u>prior to, or no later than at the time of</u>, the increase in land usage allowed.