

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P1: Regional significance of Napier Port	Sheena McGuire	168.125	Support	Notes that part of the rail corridor extends within the Port Zone. Supports policies that recognise and enable port and industrial activities, including freight activities and movements.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P2: Characteristics of port activities	Sheena McGuire	168.126	Support	Notes that part of the rail corridor extends within the Port Zone. Supports policies that recognise and enable port and industrial activities, including freight activities and movements.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P4: Port-related activities	Sheena McGuire	168.127	Support	Notes that part of the rail corridor extends within the Port Zone. Supports policies that recognise and enable port and industrial activities, including freight activities and movements.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /PORTZ-R1: Any port-related activities	Sheena McGuire	168.128	Support	Supports the permitted activity status of any port-related activities.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port	Mark Leggett	180.13	Support	Supports the proposed objectives and policies seek to address identified issues with the more generic operative provisions: i.e. that they overlook the unique operational needs of the Napier Port and do not include specific objectives and policies that provide for the nature and scale of the operations ensuring that the Port facilities are not constrained.Supports the more specific wording of the proposed objectives and policies as giving effect to NZCPS Policy 9 and to the Port's status as regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain provisions as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port	Mark Leggett	180.14	Support	Supports the proposed objectives and policies seek to address identified issues with the more generic operative provisions: i.e. that they overlook the unique operational needs of the Napier Port and do not include specific objectives and policies that provide for the nature and scale of the operations ensuring that the Port facilities are not constrained.Supports the more specific wording of the proposed objectives and policies as giving effect to NZCPS Policy 9 and to the Port's status as regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain provisions as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P1: Regional significance of Napier Port	Mark Leggett	180.15	Support	Supports the proposed objectives and policies seek to address identified issues with the more generic operative provisions: i.e. that they overlook the unique operational needs of the Napier Port and do not include specific objectives and policies that provide for the nature and scale of the operations ensuring that the Port facilities are not constrained. Supports the more specific wording of the proposed objectives and policies as giving effect to NZCPS Policy 9 and to the Port's status as regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain provisions as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P2: Characteristics of port activities	Mark Leggett	180.16	Support	Supports the proposed objectives and policies seek to address identified issues with the more generic operative provisions: i.e. that they overlook the unique operational needs of the Napier Port and do not include specific objectives and policies that provide for the nature and scale of the operations ensuring that the Port facilities are not constrained. Supports the more specific wording of the proposed objectives and policies as giving effect to NZCPS Policy 9 and to the Port's status as regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain provisions as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P4: Port-related activities	Mark Leggett	180.17	Support	Supports as the proposed objectives and policies seek to address identified issues with the more generic operative provisions: i.e. that they overlook the unique operational needs of the Napier Port and do not include specific objectives and policies that provide for the nature and scale of the operations ensuring that the Port facilities are not constrained. Supports the more specific wording of the proposed objectives and policies as giving effect to NZCPS Policy 9 and to the Port's status as regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain provisions as notified.	Open Submission

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /PORTZ-R4: Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage, and goods handling	Mark Leggett	180.18	Oppose	Opposes as 'Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage and goods handling' is provided for as a permitted activity in the PORTZ Zone, (with a default to fully discretionary activity in the event of infringements of relevant standards in the PORTZ Zone), however, the term is not defined in the PDP. Considers that the distinction between it and the definition for 'Port-related activity' is not clear. Considers that there is no justification for distinguishing between 'Port-related industrial activity' and general 'Industrial activity', which is defined, in the PORTZ Zone. 'Industrial activity' should be provided for as a permitted activity, enabling the PORTZ objectives and policies and relevant assessment criteria (including 'Whether the activity has an operational requirement to be located in the Port Zone') as notified brought to bear in instances where relevant PORTZ standards are infringed.Considers that it is not clear what activities the Assessment criteria PORTZ- AC5 are intended to apply to. It is not clear whether "Activities that are not directly related to the port activities" encompasses any activities not catered for under Rule PORTZ-R1 alone, or also PORTZ-R2 to PORTZ-R5. Seeks amendment to PORTZ-R4 above, to clarified that PORTZ-AC5 only applies with respect to activities subject to PORTZ-R5.	Amend Rule PORTZ-R4 to read:'PORTZ-R4: <del>Port-related industrial</del> Industrial activity, transport, storage and goods handling'	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC3: Port-related industrial, transport, storage, and goods handling	Mark Leggett	180.19	Oppose	Opposes as 'Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage and goods handling' is provided for as a permitted activity in the PORTZ Zone, (with a default to fully discretionary activity in the event of infringements of relevant standards in the PORTZ Zone), however, the term is not defined in the PDP. Considers that the distinction between it and the definition for 'Port-related activity' is not clear. Considers that there is no justification for distinguishing between 'Port-related industrial activity' and general 'Industrial activity', which is defined, in the PORTZ Zone. 'Industrial activity' should be provided for as a permitted activity, enabling the PORTZ objectives and policies and relevant assessment criteria (including 'Whether the activity has an operational requirement to be located in the Port Zone') as notified brought to bear in instances where relevant PORTZ standards are infringed.Considers that it is not clear what activities the Assessment criteria PORTZ- AC5 are intended to apply to. It is not clear whether "Activities that are not directly related to the port activities" encompasses any activities not catered for under Rule PORTZ-R1 alone, or also PORTZ-R2 to PORTZ-R5. Seeks amendment to PORTZ-R4 above, to clarified that PORTZ-AC5 only applies with respect to activities subject to PORTZ-R5.	Amend Assessment criteria PORTZ-AC3 to read: 'PORTZ-AC3: <del>Port-related industrial</del> Industrial activity, transport, storage and goods handling' Delete Assessment criteria PORTZ-AC3a. relating to: ' <del>Whether the activity has an operational requirement to be located in the Port Zone.</del> '	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC5: Activities that are not directly related to the port activities	Mark Leggett	180.20	Oppose	Opposes as 'Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage and goods handling' is provided for as a permitted activity in the PORTZ Zone, (with a default to fully discretionary activity in the event of infringements of relevant standards in the PORTZ Zone), however, the term is not defined in the PDP. Considers that the distinction between it and the definition for 'Port-related activity' is not clear. Considers that there is no justification for distinguishing between 'Port-related industrial activity' and general 'Industrial activity', which is defined, in the PORTZ Zone. 'Industrial activity' should be provided for as a permitted activity, enabling the PORTZ objectives and policies and relevant assessment criteria (including 'Whether the activity has an operational requirement to be located in the Port Zone') as notified brought to bear in instances where relevant PORTZ standards are infringed.Considers that it is not clear what activities the Assessment criteria PORTZ- AC5 are intended to apply to. It is not clear whether "Activities that are not directly related to the port activities" encompasses any activities not catered for under Rule PORTZ-R1 alone, or also PORTZ-R2 to PORTZ-R5. Seeks amendment to PORTZ-R4 above, to clarified that PORTZ-AC5 only applies with respect to activities subject to PORTZ-R5.	Amend Assessment criteria PORTZ-AC5 to read: 'PORTZ-AC5: Activities <del>that are not directly related to the port activities</del> <u>subject to PORTZ-R5</u> '	Open Submission

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S2: Height in relation to boundary	Mark Leggett	180.21	Oppose	Notes that standard PORTZ-S2 aims to maintain character and amenity of areas adjacent to the Port, including residential amenity. Considers that there is an over-extension of the standard's scope by referencing "site boundary" beyond its stated purpose. Considers that the standard does not apply with respect to the submitter's site (Lot 1 DP 13666) Considers that the application of the standard to sites within the PORTZ Zone is questionable given the functional, workaday appearance of the Port, the fact that the standard appears intent on managing the interface with other zones, and the reality that most sites at the Port have no legal road access. Request review and clarification of the rationale for Standard PORTZ-S2 to ensure its application aligns with intended objectives	Amend Standard PORTZ-S2 as follows: 2. No part of a building, structure, outdoor storage, refuse storage area or stockpile or stored goods must project beyond a building envelope constructed by drawing planes along all parts of all zone boundaries, other than the coastal marine area boundary. The planes must commence 7.5 m above ground level at the zone boundary <del>and at any site boundary adjoining a road or designated rail corridor</del> and must be inclined to the horizontal at an angle of 65 degrees. 3. This standard does not apply to lighting poles, quayside cranes, and container cranes <u>or to sites located in the PORTZ – Port Zone that do not have direct access to a legal road.</u>	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringements	Mark Leggett	180.22	Oppose	Notes that standard PORTZ-S2 aims to maintain character and amenity of areas adjacent to the Port, including residential amenity. Considers that there is an over-extension of the standard's scope by referencing "site boundary" beyond its stated purpose. Considers that the standard does not apply with respect to the submitter's site (Lot 1 DP 13666) Considers that the application of the standard to sites within the PORTZ Zone is questionable given the functional, workaday appearance of the Port, the fact that the standard appears intent on managing the interface with other zones, and the reality that most sites at the Port have no legal road access. Request review and clarification of the rationale for Standard PORTZ-S2 to ensure its application aligns with intended objectives	Amend related matters of discretion and Assessment criteria PORTZ-AC1 to reflect a refocusing of the standard on the management of the PORTZ Zone's external interface and maintenance of the character and amenity of adjoining residential areas.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S3: Site coverage	Mark Leggett	180.23	Oppose	Opposes as it is not clear why a limit on site coverage is sought to be imposed in the PORTZ Zone. Notes that the stated purpose of the rule is <i>'to provide for buildings that are functional and of a sufficient size to provide for a range of port-related activities; to manage the effects of buildings and structures on residential amenity and use of the coastal marine area and open spaces.'</i> Submitter questions the applicability of this standard to sites within PORTZ Zone due to the functional, industrial nature of the Port, its physical separation from residential areas, and the need to maximize space efficiency. Notes that no equivalent limitation on site coverage applies in the GIZ – General Industrial Zone, which exhibits a similar character to the Port. Considers that 100% coverage should be permissible in the circumstances and seeks the deletion of this standard.	Delete Standard PORTZ-S3.Delete associated Assessment criteria in PORTZ- AC1.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringements	Mark Leggett	180.24	Oppose	Opposes as it is not clear why a limit on site coverage is sought to be imposed in the PORTZ Zone. Notes that the stated purpose of the rule is <i>'to provide for buildings that are functional and of a sufficient size to provide for a range of port-related activities; to manage the effects of buildings and structures on residential amenity and use of the coastal marine area and open spaces.'</i> Submitter questions the applicability of this standard to sites within PORTZ Zone due to the functional, industrial nature of the Port, its physical separation from residential areas, and the need to maximize space efficiency. Notes that no equivalent limitation on site coverage applies in the GIZ – General Industrial Zone, which exhibits a similar character to the Port. Considers that 100% coverage should be permissible in the circumstances and seeks the deletion of this standard.	Delete Standard PORTZ-S3.Delete associated Assessment criteria in PORTZ- AC1.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Introduction	Alan Petersen	187.32	Amend	The land area is largely reclaimed from the sea. The activities at the port cross the boundary of the Coastal Marine Area (CMA) and good connections to major land transport routes by road and rail are essential. Port activities have unique characteristics, with adverse effects on surrounding areas that include visual, noise, <del>and</del> transport effects, <u>and light spill.</u>	Submission 31 - 4th paragraph – add light spill.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Issues /PORTZ-11: Regional significance of Napier Port	Alan Petersen	187.33	Amend	Submission 32 – Add to the regional "and from further afield" --.	Add to the regional <u>"and from further afield"</u> --.	Open Submission

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /Issues /PORTZ-I2: Amenity values in the surrounding environment	Alan Petersen	187.34	Amend	Submission 33 – Has the Port on its current site been there since 1880s? I understand the current site was established after the 1931 Earthquake. Please amend to the correct year. Also add that the residential environment was established in the 1860s. It could have been even earlier.	Submission 33 – Has the Port on its current site been there since 1880s? I understand the current site was established after the 1931 Earthquake. Please amend to the correct year. Also add that the residential environment was established in the 1860s. It could have been even earlier.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringements	Alan Petersen	187.35	Support	Support all the assessment matters where any development must consider the neighbouring areas – including residential and recreational.	Support all the assessment matters where any development must consider the neighbouring areas – including residential and recreational.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC5: Activities that are not directly related to the port activities	Alan Petersen	187.36	Oppose	Its interesting that the road & rail networks between the Port site and the residential environment have much lower noise limits than the Port is proposing.	Its interesting that the road & rail networks between the Port site and the residential environment have much lower noise limits than the Port is proposing.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /General /General	Grant Russell	202.1	Support	(The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission.)	(See detailed written submission / relief sought from Napier Port.)	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Introduction	Grant Russell	202.61	Amend	Supports the PORTZ - Port Zone Introduction and Issues subject to amendments to better reflect the importance of Napier Port to the regional economy.	Amend the PORTZ - Port Zone Introduction and Issues as follows: Introduction The Port Zone applies to land occupied by the operational <del>Napier Port of Napier</del> Napier Port Limited (Napier Port) located at the base of Mataruahou (Bluff Hill), and adjacent to Breakwater Road, State Highway 50. Port noise and traffic are managed in separate chapters. The effects on people and the environment from discharges to air and coastal water are matters for the regional council. This chapter provides for port-related activities on the operational port located at the base of Bluff Hill. The infrastructure at the port includes facilities for the loading or unloading of cargo or passengers carried by sea, and may include port-related <u>industrial and commercial</u> facilities. <u>Napier Port brings to the region positive benefits including its contribution to the regional economy and the transport links via land and sea within New Zealand and its global reach for the import and export of vital goods and products.</u> There is a geographically separated area of Port Zone land between Breakwater Road and Mataruahou (Bluff Hill) that is subject to a natural hazards risk from rockfalls or landslides. As such this area is identified as a Specific Control Area within the Port Zone. Issues PORTZ-I2: Amenity values in the surrounding environment The level of effects experienced on properties in the vicinity of the port will not be the same as in other residential zones. The	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Issues /PORTZ-I1: Regional significance of Napier Port	Grant Russell	202.62	Support	The submitter supports the PORTZ - Port Zone PORTZ-I1: Regional significance of Napier Port Issues Statement.	Retain PORTZ-I1: Regional significance of Napier Port Issues Statement in its entirety.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Issues /PORTZ-I3: Public health and safety	Grant Russell	202.63	Amend	Supports PORTZ-I3: Public health and safety with an amendment to reflect the importance of managing biosecurity and border security at Napier Port given its maritime role.	Amend PORTZ-I3: Public health and safety as follows: The nature of activities at the port means restrictions on activities and on access to, along, and adjacent to the coastal marine area may be necessary for <u>biosecurity and border</u> security and to protect public health or safety.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port	Grant Russell	202.64	Support	The submitter supports PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port and should be retained as it enables the port to carry out ongoing and vital operation, maintenance, and development activities as a port company.	Retain PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port in its entirety.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port	Grant Russell	202.65	Support	Supports PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port and should be retained as it provides for the safe, efficient, and effective use of the Napier port activities ensuring both functional and operational needs are met.	Retain PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port in its entirety as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O3: Mitigation of hazard risk	Grant Russell	202.66	Support	Supports PORTZ-O3: Mitigation of hazard risk as Health and safety and managing associated risks is a matter at the forefront for Napier Port. This objective should be retained as it acknowledges the wider natural hazards that may potentially impact the Port and the public and is supported by Napier Port.	Retain PORTZ-O3: Mitigation of hazard risk in its entirety.	Open Submission

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P1: Regional significance of Napier Port	Grant Russell	202.67	Amend	Considers PORTZ-P1: Regional significance of Napier Port Policy is supported with an amendment to reflect the importance of the environment as significant to Napier Port, along with the other existing elements listed in this Policy.	Amend PORTZ-P1: Regional significance of Napier Port as follows:To recognise the port's importance to the social, economic, <u>environment</u> , and cultural wellbeing of the region.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P2: Characteristics of port activities	Grant Russell	202.68	Support	Supports PORTZ-P2: Characteristics of port activities Policy as it aligns with the industrial nature of Port activities.	Retain PORTZ-P2: Characteristics of port activities Policy in its entirety as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P3: Amenity values in the surrounding environment	Grant Russell	202.69	Support	Supports PORTZ-P3: Amenity values in the surrounding environment together with an amendment as it provides for practicable approaches to be adopted by Napier Port when addressing port infrastructure design and operational matters.	Seeks to support PORTZ-P3: Amenity values in the surrounding environment together with the following amendment:Port infrastructure and operations are designed and operated to ensure off-site nuisance effects, including artificial lighting, noise, dust, odour, and vibration, <u>[are] managed to limit mitigate as far as practicable</u> adverse effects on the amenity values of the surrounding environment.(Inferred relief requested)	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P4: Port-related activities	Grant Russell	202.70	Support	Supports PORTZ-P4: Port-related activities Policy as it provides for appropriate structures required for port operational and functional needs.	Retain PORTZ-P4: Port-related activities Policy as notified to enable operational port activities and associated buildings and structures of an appropriate scale.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P5: Retail and office activities	Grant Russell	202.71	Support	Supports PORTZ-P5: Retail and office activities Policy as it enables appropriate retail and office activities at the Port but ensures potential impacts do not adversely impact on other commercially zoned places such as Napier's CBD.	Retain PORTZ-P5: Retail and office activities Policy as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /PORTZ-P6: Mitigation of hazard risk	Grant Russell	202.72	Support	Supports PORTZ-P6: Mitigation of hazard risk as a prudent Policy for an area owned by the Port that is subject to potential rock falls.	Retain PORTZ-P6: Mitigation of hazard risk in its entirety.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /General	Grant Russell	202.73	Oppose	The submitter opposes PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table - Matters of discretion references to PORTZ-P1, PORTZ-P2, PORTZ-P3, PORTZ-P4and PORTZ-P5 as not being discrete nor relevant to the activity requiring consent.	Delete PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table - Matters of discretion references to PORTZ-P1, PORTZ-P2, PORTZ-P3, PORTZ-P4and PORTZ-P5 where they are not discrete nor relevant to the activity requiring consent.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone Rockfall Hazard Specific Control Area - Rules Table /General	Grant Russell	202.74	Support	Supports PORTZ - Port Zone Rockfall Hazard Specific Control Area - Rules Table as it is a prudent rule and classification given the potential hazard and risk associated with the installation of new or additional buildings in this specific area.	Retain PORTZ - Port Zone Rockfall Hazard Specific Control Area - Rules Table classification.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S1: Height	Grant Russell	202.75	Support	The submitter supports PORTZ-S1: Height Standard as it enables for the operations and functions at Napier Port and as such it should be retained.	Retain PORTZ-S1: Height in its entirety as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S2: Height in relation to boundary	Grant Russell	202.76	Support	Retain the PORTZ-S2: Height in relation to boundary Standard including the preclusion of limited and public notification of applications under Notification status in its entirety.		Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S3: Site coverage	Grant Russell	202.77	Amend	Considers PORTZ-S3: Site coverage is supported albeit with an amendment to Matters of Discretion Clause 11. of Fire and pest control as Fire control is considered to be a Building Act function, and pest (marine?) control is a biosecurity matter / responsibility of the Hawke's Bay Regional Council.	Amend PORTZ-S3: Site coverage Matters of Discretion Clause 11. as follows: <del>11. Fire and pest control, and</del>	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /PORTZ-S4: Aerials, lines, and support structures (other than for the purposes of a network utility operation)	Grant Russell	202.78	Support	Supports PORTZ-S4: Aerials, lines, and support structures (other than for the purposes of a network utility operation) as the Standard enables for the operational and functional requirements at the Napier Port and should be retained.	Retain PORTZ-S4: Aerials, lines, and support structures (other than for the purposes of a network utility operation) in its entirety.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringementsGeneral assessment matters	Grant Russell	202.79	Amend	Considers PORTZ-AC1: All infringements should be amended to ensure consistency of words when referring to council's reticulation networks.	Amend PORTZ-AC1: All infringements Assessment criteria as follows: PORTZ-AC1: All infringements Trade waste and wastewater infrastructure o. The extent to which the nature or scale of the activity will place additional demand <del>on public services</del> <u>on the council's reticulation networks.</u> r. The volume and composition of any discharge and the effects on the council's <u>reticulated networks</u> <del>utilities</del> and receiving environment.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringementsGeneral assessment matters	Grant Russell	202.80	Amend	Considers PORTZ-AC1: All infringements should be amended to ensure consistency of words when referring to council's reticulation networks.	Amend PORTZ-AC1: All infringements Assessment criteria as follows: Stormwater managementx. Whether there is a risk that accidental spills or wash-down areas may contain hazardous substances or contamination that may enter stormwater or the council's <u>reticulated</u> networks.	Open Submission

# PORTZ - Port Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /Assessment criteria /PORTZ-AC1: All infringementsGeneral assessment matters	Grant Russell	202.81	Oppose	Opposes PORTZ-AC1: All infringements as Fire control is considered to be a Building Act function, and pest management planning is a biosecurity matter / responsibility of the Hawke's Bay Regional Council.	Delete PORTZ-AC1: All infringements as follows <del>Fire and pest control</del> ag. The extent to which the activity implements an approved fire or pest management plan.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /PORTZ-R1: Any port-related activities	Georgina McPherson	215.172	Support	PORTZ-R1 applies to 'port-related activities' which as defined in the Proposed Plan includes under clause (f): fuel and ancillary pipeline networks. This is supported by the Fuel Companies, as it enables their wharflines to be maintained and upgraded as a permitted activity.	Retain rule PORTS-R1 as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Issues /PORTZ-I2: Amenity values in the surrounding environment	denise fastier	245.1	Oppose	Considers that there is unreasonable traffic noise outside excepted hours in a mixed residential/ commercial zone. Seeks quiet times between 10pm to 6am so residents can sleep.	Seeks quiet times between 10pm to 6am so residents can sleep. No specific relief sought	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /PORTZ-R3: Multi-unit development for port activities	denise fastier	245.10	Amend	Considers that there is unreasonable traffic noise outside excepted hours in a mixed residential/ commercial zone. Seeks quiet times between 10pm to 6am so residents can sleep.	Seeks quiet times between 10pm to 6am so residents can sleep. No specific relief sought	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port	Ella Shields Carmen Taylor	246.117	Support	Supports PORTZ - Port Zone Objective PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port as Ravensdown's Port Store, which is located in the PORTZ, is a 'port-related activity' (refer earlier submission point) with this objective aiming to enable port activities, while also ensuring that off-site adverse effects are appropriately managed.	Retain Objective PORTZ-O1 as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port	Ella Shields Carmen Taylor	246.118	Support	Supports PORTZ-O2: The efficient and effective use and development of Napier Port as in enabling port and port-related activities to take place within the PORTZ (as provided for by PORTZ-O1), it is important to ensure that these activities are not subject to reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities within the zone.	Retain Objective PORTZ-O2 as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Rules Table /PORTZ-R4: Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage, and goods handling	Ella Shields Carmen Taylor	246.119	Support	The submitter supports PORTZ-R4: Port-related industrial activity, transport, storage, and goods handling as Rule PORTZ-R4 provides for 'port-related activities' as a permitted activity, subject to compliance with the PORTZ standards (Rule PORTZ-R4A). Where the PORTZ standards are not complied with, port-related activities require a resource consent as a discretionary activity (Rule PORTZ-R4B). As Ravensdown's Port Store is a 'port-related activity' (refer to above), this 'port-related activity' permitted activity rule is supported.	Retain Rule PORTZ-R4 as notified.	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Objectives /PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port	Trevor Ellis	253.103	Amend	Considers that PORTZ-O1 is a strong enabling policy for the Port. However, the Port area is surrounded by a multitude of coastal marine habitats and ecosystems such as sheltered shallow reefs, sheltered shallow sand, and shallow beach. The language should be amended to consider that significant adverse effects on the environment are sufficiently avoided, minimised, or remedied.	Seeks to amend PORTZ-O1 as follows: PORTZ-O1: Provide for Napier Port The ongoing operation, maintenance, and development of the port is enabled, while <del>managing</del> <u>avoiding, minimising, and remedying</u> off-site adverse effects on the environment	Open Submission
PORTZ - Port Zone /Policies /General	Trevor Ellis	253.104	Amend	Considers that with PORTZ-O1's amendment request, a new policy should be implemented alongside the amendment to give effect to it.	Seeks to insert a new policy that requires significant adverse effects on the environment in and from the Port to be avoided, minimised, and remedied.	Open Submission

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
PORTZ - Port Zone /PORTZ - Port Zone - Standards Table /General	Alec Duncan	288.99	Amend	<p>Fire and Emergency’s main area of concern is the provision of a water supply and access to this supply which will enable Fire and Emergency to operate effectively and efficiently to save lives and property in the event of a fire. This is best achieved through compliance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ 4509:2008.SNZ 4509:2008 sets out minimum water supply requirements required for firefighting water supply to both reticulated and non-reticulated areas. Where a development is unable to connect to the reticulated network, or the reticulated network has insufficient capacity and/or pressure, an alternative firefighting water source is required by Fire and Emergency. This could be from a dedicated firefighting water supply tank.Fire and Emergency notes that the subdivision chapter includes rules and standards applying to subdivision. Therefore, activities that do not require subdivision will not be subject to the servicing standards within, including SUB-S14 that applies to the subdivision of new lots only and requires a firefighting water supply.A new standard is therefore requested in all zones of which development of new buildings are enabled to ensure that new development is provided with a water supply suitable for firefighting purposes.In relation to new standard XXX-SX(1), it is expected that all reticulated water supply networks (whether private or public) will be fully compliant with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008 as required by the Code of Practice for Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and that new connections under the water supply bylaw will not be granted where there is insufficient capacity available within the water supply network for the proposed activity.</p>	<p>Seeks to include a new performance standard as follows:</p> <p><u>XXX-SX: Firefighting water supply</u></p> <p><u>1. All new developments must be connected to a public reticulated water supply, where one is available.</u></p> <p><u>2. Where the new development will not be connected to a public reticulated water supply, or where an additional level of service is required that exceeds the level of service provided by the reticulated system, the developer must demonstrate how an alternative and satisfactory firefighting water supply can be provided in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</u></p> <p><u>Matter of discretion:</u></p> <p><u>1. The extent of consistency with the requirements of the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</u></p> <p>Or</p> <p>To similar effect.</p> <p>And</p> <p>any other consequential amendments required to give effect to this new standard.</p>	Open Submission