

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Standards Table /LCZ-S9: Fences and walls.	Susan Dallas	25.27	Oppose	The submitter opposes fence and wall standards.	Delete Fences and Walls activity standards in their entirety from the District Plan. (Inferred relief requested)	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Standards Table /LCZ-S9: Fences and walls.	Natasha Duncan-Sutherland	31.25	Oppose	Considers that a limit on fence heights to 1.2 metres will place children at risk of dog attacks. The submitter seeks no restriction on fence heights with the exception that a new rule is introduced that requires front fence heights of at least 6 feet where a large-breed dog is owned.	Delete any restriction on fence heights and introduce a new rule requiring a front fence of at least 6 feet on properties where a large-breed dog is owned.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R4: Residential activitiesLCZ-R4A	Andrea Millar	105.15	Support	Supports the permitted activity rules applying to “residential units” and “residential activity” in the zone as appropriate in the context of the establishment and operation of supported and transitional accommodation activities, such as those provided by Ara Poutama.	Retain permitted activity rule LCZ-R4 applying to “residential activities” in the Local Centre Zone.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R14: Activities not otherwise provided for	Andrea Millar	105.16	Oppose	Opposes the activity rules applying to community corrections activity” as they do not enable community corrections activities, and provides non-complying activity status for these activities in the zone under LCZ-R14. Considers the importance of Community corrections activities and the need to enable non-custodial community corrections sites including within the zone which is easily accessible to offenders, where commercial, community and other similar activities are enabled as of right.	Insert a new permitted activity rule for "community corrections activities" in the Local Centre Zone, as follows: <u>LCZ-RX: Community corrections activities</u> Activity Status: Permitted <u>NA</u>	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Introduction	Sera Chambers	150.171	Amend	Considers that the introduction should be amended to ensure that existing adjoining property/landowners have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Amend the information to add that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Issues	Sera Chambers	150.172	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Objectives Relates to LCZ-I3	Sera Chambers	150.173	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies. Relates to LCZ-O2	Sera Chambers	150.174	Amend	Considers that the policy should be amended to ensure that existing adjoining property/landowners have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Amend the policy to add that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies . Relates to LCZ-O3	Sera Chambers	150.175	Oppose	Considers that the policy should be amended to ensure that existing adjoining property/landowners have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Amend the policy to add that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table	Sera Chambers	150.176	Oppose	Considers that the introduction should be amended to ensure that existing adjoining property/landowners have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R3A	Sera Chambers	150.177	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table	Sera Chambers	150.178	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Vibrancy, vitality, and character of local centre; safety, attractiveness, and connectivity of the streets and public open spaces	Sera Chambers	150.191	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Quality living environments	Sera Chambers	150.192	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Function of the local centre	Sera Chambers	150.193	Amend	Considers that the assessment criteria should be amended to ensure that existing adjoining property/landowners have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Amend the assessment criteria to add that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Function of the local centre	Sera Chambers	150.194	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Safety, attractiveness, and connectivity of streets and public open spaces	Sera Chambers	150.195	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Vibrancy, vitality, and character of the local centre	Sera Chambers	150.196	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /Quality living environments	Sera Chambers	150.197	Oppose	Opposes on the basis that existing adjoining property/landowners should have the same rights to consultation and impact mitigation requirements premised on the enjoyment of property rights as a guiding principle.	Delete on the basis that the people of Napier should be allowed to continue the enjoyment of their property rights as a guiding principle by maintaining their rights to have their say on how a development in the Local Centre Zone may impact their property and any options to have the impact mitigated.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R10: Car parking areasLCZ-R10A LCZ-R10B	Holly Jenkins	214.3	Amend	Support standards to manage the attractiveness and interface of at grade car parking areas in commercial zones. Considers the standards fail to recognise practicalities of supermarkets and other commercial activities and that standards require a large portion of a site to be occupied for this purpose. Considers an either/or approach appropriate to achieve landscaping outcomes	Seek that the landscaping requirement of NCZ-R7; LCZ-R10; LFRZ-R3; TCZ-R7; CCZ-R6 be an either/or: Where the car parking area is at-grade: a. a 2 m wide landscaping strip comprised of indigenous plants is provided along the full frontage of the road (except for the vehicle crossing), and or b. one indigenous specimen tree is provided for every ten car parks.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Standards Table /LCZ-S5: Frontages Activated streets	Holly Jenkins	214.8	Amend	Considers the need for supermarkets and other commercial activities to locate back from the street for part of a site's frontage to enable vehicle access, manoeuvring and car parking in front of the store. Considers it impractical to be completely built to the street and taking pedestrian access directly from the street and for a large portion of the building to be glazed due to heat transfer over fresh produce	Amend the standard to provide an alternative landscaping requirement along an Activated Street where it is not possible for a building to adjoin the entire length of the frontage, or provide direct pedestrian access. Amend the standard to recognise the functional and operational requirements of a supermarkets which cannot support large areas of glazing.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R3: Commercial activitiesLCZ-R3A	Holly Jenkins	214.11	Amend	Considers that a Restricted Discretionary Activity status is more appropriate for larger gross floor area retail activities as the matters for consideration can be limited to the effect on existing local centres, in accordance with the purpose, objectives and policies of the Local Centre Zone.	Amend the rule as follows: LCZ:R3B: Activity status where activity conditions are not met: Discretionary <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity</u> <u>Matters of discretion are:</u> <u>1. Vibrancy and vitality of the local centre</u> <u>2. Effects on the existing centres</u>	Open Submission

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R13: Drive-through facilities	Anitha Premraj Sam Goddard	216.9	Amend	Supports the inclusion of drive through facilities in the Local Centre Zone (LCZ). Considers the activity is consistent with Zone objectives and that drive through restaurants should be provided for as a restricted discretionary activity.	Seeks specific provision for drive through restaurants of any size within the Local Centre Zone as a restricted discretionary activity.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R12: Service stations	Shravan Miryala	217.66	Support	Supports the specific provision for service stations in the Local Centre Zone.	Retain LCZ-R12 as notified.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /	Sean Thompson	225.9	Amend	Considers that it is not self-evident from the analysis and rationale in the proposed plan, including in terms of s 32 RMA, why relocated buildings should be classified as non-complying in the NCZ, LCZ, LFRZ, LIZ and GIZ. It is accepted that relocated buildings should be discretionary in the Natural Open Space Zone, and there may be urban design and other considerations applicable to the Town Centre Zone and City Centre Zone which warrant different treatment. Since a 2004 Central Otago Environment Court decision most local authorities in New Zealand have either adopted permitted activity classification for relocated buildings (with no standards) or provided for permitted activity status with prescribed performance standards.	Seeks for the LCZ Rules to be amended as follows: a. Provide for relocated buildings as a permitted activity in all relevant zones, excepting where a specified heritage or conservation or urban design consideration applies; b. For the relocated building rules in all zones, the removal of the requirement to deposit a monitoring fee at the same time as submitting the relocated building report; c. Amending the performance standards in relation to relocated buildings in accordance with the wording in the attached Schedule 1 (which can be found in the attached submission), to provide for: i. modification of the performance timeframes such that relocation on permanent foundation is required within two months, and twelve months is allowed for the completion of all reinstatement works; ii. provision for a pre-inspection report in the same or similar form as found in Schedule 2 (which can be found in the attached submission). Consequential or further relief including to the relevant objectives, policies and provisions of the proposed plan in order to give effect to relief sought. (The reasons are stated in detail in the full submission)	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Objectives /LCZ-O1: Local centre function. Relates to LCZ-I1	Sian Stirling Jessica Ensing	231.74	Amend	Seeks an amendment to explicitly recognise and provide for educational activities in the LCZ. Any zone that enables residential activities in or around it should provide for schools and day care centres as essential social infrastructure required to support the surrounding residential and commercial catchment as educational facilities do not meet the definition of community activities and should be outlined clearly here.	Seeks to Amend LCZ-O1 to recognise and provide for educational activities: LCZ-O1: Local centre function Local centres have a range of commercial and community activities, including educational activities that service the needs of the residential catchment.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Objectives /LCZ-O4: Access and connectivity	Sian Stirling Jessica Ensing	231.75	Support	Supports this objective to enable well connected local centres through the establishment of multi-modal infrastructure. The Ministry supports the uptake of students choosing active modes of travel to schools as has health benefits and reduces traffic congestion on the road network at peak pick up and drop off time.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies /LCZ-P1: Local centre function. Relates to LCZ-O1	Sian Stirling Jessica Ensing	231.76	Amend	Seeks an amendment to explicitly recognise and provide for educational activities in the LCZ as educational facilities are necessary to service residential activities. These amendments will align with the changes requested to the correlating objective - LCZ-O1.	Seeks to Amend LCZ-P1 to recognise and provide for educational activities: LCZ-P1: Local centre function Protect the function of the local centre as a service centre for the residential catchment including by: a) providing for a range of commercial and community activities, including educational facilities, and b) restricting large format retail and industrial activities.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies /LCZ-P5: Access and connectivity. Relates to LCZ-O4	Sian Stirling Jessica Ensing	231.77	Support	Supports this objective to enable well connected local centres through the establishment of multi-modal infrastructure. The Ministry supports the uptake of students choosing active modes of travel to schools as has health benefits and reduces traffic congestion on the road network at peak pick up and drop off time.	Retain as proposed.	Open Submission

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R6: Educational activities.	Sian Stirling Jessica Ensing	231.78	Amend	<p>Considers LCZ-R6 is unclear as it does not outline what the activity status would be if the educational facility could not comply with the permitted developments standards. Some matters of discretion are listed next to each development standard but nowhere in the chapter does it clearly outline that educational facilities would become a restricted discretionary activity if they cannot comply with the standards.</p> <p>The Ministry requested greater clarity is provided. The Ministry propose that a restricted discretionary status is applied to the activity status box for each educational facility. The Ministry also requests that educational facilities have their own matters of discretion, as often schools cannot comply with the development standards and matters of discretion should be restricted to the relevant effects. The matters of discretion we have proposed have been taken from other chapters in the Plan. However, if council does not support these, the Ministry would appreciate the opportunity to work with council to come to an agreement on these matters of discretion. The Ministry also request the title be changed to 'educational facilities' to be consistent with the rest of the Plan and use a term that is defined in the definitions chapter.</p>	<p>Seeks to have more clarity on LCZ-R6.</p> <p>Seeks to amend the title to read educational facilities rather than education facilities.</p> <p>Seeks to amend LCZ-R6 so that a restricted discretionary status is applied to the activity status:</p> <p>LCZ-R6: Educational facility activities Activity status: Permitted <u>Activity Status where permitted development standards are not met: Restricted Discretionary</u> <u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Scale, design, layout, setbacks and screening;</u> 2. <u>Contribution to local community wellbeing;</u> 3. <u>Effects on the streetscape and neighbourhood character;</u> 	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /General	Sam Goddard	238.7	Amend	The zone provides for commercial activities however it is unclear if this includes retail activities. It is therefore considered that explicit provision should be made for supermarkets within the zone.	<p>Include specific provision for supermarkets in the Local Centre Zone with the following activity statuses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supermarkets up to 2,000m² - Permitted • Supermarkets over 2,000m² - Restricted Discretionary 	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Objectives /General	Phil Stickney	247.32	Amend	Considers minor amendments are necessary to aid in clarity and certainty. The language used is at times vague and creates uncertainty for users of the Plan.	Amend provisions to aid in clarity and focus on salient matters clearly.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies /General	Phil Stickney	247.33	Amend	Considers minor amendments are necessary to aid in clarity and certainty. The language used is at times vague and creates uncertainty for users of the Plan.	Amend provisions to aid in clarity and focus on salient matters clearly.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R1: New buildings	Phil Stickney	247.34	Oppose	Considers that LCZ-R1 renders new buildings accommodating permitted activities as restricted discretionary is too restrictive. Seeks this as a permitted activity.	Amend LCZ-R1 to a Permitted Activity status if all the relevant standards can be achieved.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R2: Additions and alterations	Phil Stickney	247.35	Oppose	Opposes the Restricted Discretionary Activity status for extensions to existing buildings that are over 25sqm.	Delete or amend this rule to make such activities permitted.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R10: Car parking areas LCZ-R10A	Phil Stickney	247.36	Oppose	Opposes the imposition of a Restricted Discretionary consent for an at grade car park, considers this to be too restrictive.	Delete or amend this rule to make such activities Permitted.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /General	Phil Stickney	247.37	Oppose	Opposes the lack of permitted activity rules for EV charging stations and ancillary infrastructure.	Seeks to include provision for EV charging stations and ancillary infrastructure.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /General	Phil Stickney	247.38	Oppose	Considers amendments necessary to refine and tighten the consideration of activities against the Assessment Criteria within the Plan and reflect the amendments and changes sought in this submission. In particular, it is not considered reasonably necessary for a Permitted Activity which infringes a standard in The Plan, to fall to automatically being assessed again against the relevant Objectives and Policies of The Plan as a Restricted Discretionary Activity.	<p>Seeks the inclusion of more targeted Assessment Criteria that refine the scope of assessment to the range of effects that the infringement generates (either actual or potential).</p> <p>Opposes the inclusion of Plan Objectives and Policies.</p>	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Objectives /General	Phil Stickney	263.40	Amend	Minor amendments are sought to aid in clarity and certainty. The language used is at times vague and creates uncertainty for users of the Plan.	Redraft provisions to aid in clarity and focus on salient matters clearly.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies /General	Phil Stickney	263.41	Amend	Minor amendments are sought to aid in clarity and certainty. The language used is at times vague and creates uncertainty for users of the Plan.	Redraft provisions to aid in clarity and focus on salient matters clearly.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R1: New buildings	Phil Stickney	263.42	Oppose	Oppose LCZ-R1 as it is considered unduly restrictive for the LCZ. On the basis that a proposed building can achieve the relevant standards set out for the Zone and presumably the standards deal with the key environmental effects to be managed, there is no compelling reason why an activity status of Restricted Discretionary is required.	Amend LCZ-R1 to a Permitted Activity status if all the relevant standards can be achieved.	Open Submission

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R2: Additions and alterations	Phil Stickney	263.43	Oppose	Opposes rule LCZ-R2. This is deemed unduly restrictive given the very low threshold trigger of 25sqm. The matters for discretion are not aligned with the effects arising from, for example, an addition of 25sqm triggering a consent requirement as a restricted Discretionary Activity.	Delete or amend this rule to make such activities permitted.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /LCZ-R10: Car parking areas LCZ-R10A	Phil Stickney	263.44	Oppose	Opposes LRZ-R10A as car parking is an essential component for the majority of local centres and given the scale of these centres and the quantum of income they generate, is almost always on grade parking. A Restricted Discretionary consent for an at grade car park is considered to be out of proportion with the nature and scale of the activity. The alternative is to place some caveats as to scale and size whereby a consent is triggered but as proposed the rule is overly harsh. It is noted that the carparking activity status of Discretionary is even more restrictive than for a new building to house a commercial activity. This rule effectively "neutralises" any of the Permitted Activities on a site by placing consenting requirements on the supporting infrastructure to allow a Permitted Activity to function effectively.	Delete or amend this rule to make such activities Permitted.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /General	Phil Stickney	263.45	Oppose	LCZ Rules table should also include specific provision for infrastructure including EV charging facilities and any associated structures as a permitted Activity.	Include provision for, EV charging stations and ancillary infrastructure.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Assessment criteria /General	Phil Stickney	263.46	Amend	Amendments are sought to refine and tighten the consideration of activities against the Assessment Criteria within the Plan and reflect the amendments and changes sought in this submission. In particular, it is not considered reasonably necessary for a Permitted Activity which infringes a standard in The Plan, to fall to automatically being assessed again against the relevant Objectives and Policies of The Plan as a Restricted Discretionary Activity.	The Submitter seeks the inclusion of more targeted Assessment Criteria that refine the scope of assessment to the range of effects that the infringement generates (either actual or potential) rather than a wider sweep of the Plan Objectives and Policies.	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Rules Table /General	Alec Duncan	288.66	Amend	Fire and Emergency requires the ability to construct and operate emergency service facilities (i.e., fire stations) in locations which will enable reasonable response times to fire and other emergencies. This means new fire stations may be necessary in any zone across the district in order to continue to achieve emergency response time commitments in situations where development occurs, and populations change. In this regard it is noted that Fire and Emergency is not a requiring authority under section 166 of the RMA, and therefore does not have the ability to designate land for the purposes of fire stations. Fire and Emergency notes that the effects of a fire station can be largely anticipated and, in the most part, do not differ to the effects of many activities that may be anticipated through rural and urban environments. Fire and Emergency requests that emergency service facilities are provided for as permitted activities in the larger, more extensive zones of the district to recognise the critical importance of emergency services to communities. In providing for emergency service facility as a permitted activity would mean that emergency service facilities would be controlled by the subsequent performance standards of each zone (such as height, height in relation to boundary, front yards, building coverage requirements).and the other relevant performance standards in other chapters (i.e., transport) to ensure that any effects are appropriately managed.	Seeks to include new permitted activity as follows: xxx-Rx: Emergency service facilities Activity Status: Permitted N/A Or To similar effect. And any other consequential amendments required to give effect to this new standard.	Open Submission

LCZ - Local Centre Zone

Section / Sub-section / Provision	Submitter Name	Submission number / Point Number	Position	Summary of Submission	Relief Summary	Link to Submission (click to open)
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /LCZ - Local Centre Zone - Standards Table /General	Alec Duncan	288.85	Amend	<p>Fire and Emergency's main area of concern is the provision of a water supply and access to this supply which will enable Fire and Emergency to operate effectively and efficiently to save lives and property in the event of a fire. This is best achieved through compliance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ 4509:2008.</p> <p>SNZ 4509:2008 sets out minimum water supply requirements required for firefighting water supply to both reticulated and non-reticulated areas. Where a development is unable to connect to the reticulated network, or the reticulated network has insufficient capacity and/or pressure, an alternative firefighting water source is required by Fire and Emergency. This could be from a dedicated firefighting water supply tank.</p> <p>Fire and Emergency notes that the subdivision chapter includes rules and standards applying to subdivision. Therefore, activities that do not require subdivision will not be subject to the servicing standards within, including SUB-S14 that applies to the subdivision of new lots only and requires a firefighting water supply.</p> <p>A new standard is therefore requested in all zones of which development of new buildings are enabled to ensure that new development is provided with a water supply suitable for firefighting purposes.</p> <p>In relation to new standard XXX-SX(1), it is expected that all reticulated water supply networks (whether private or public) will be fully compliant with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008 as required by the Code of Practice for Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and that new connections under the water supply bylaw will not be granted where there is insufficient capacity available within the water supply network for the proposed activity.</p>	<p>Seeks to include a new performance standard as follows:</p> <p><u>XXX-SX: Firefighting water supply</u></p> <p>1. All new developments must be connected to a public reticulated water supply, where one is available.</p> <p>2. Where the new development will not be connected to a public reticulated water supply, or where an additional level of service is required that exceeds the level of service provided by the reticulated system, the developer must demonstrate how an alternative and satisfactory firefighting water supply can be provided in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</p> <p><u>Matter of discretion:</u></p> <p>1. The extent of consistency with the requirements of the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>To similar effect.</p> <p>And</p> <p>any other consequential amendments required to give effect to this new standard.</p>	Open Submission
LCZ - Local Centre Zone /Policies /LCZ-P6: Sustainable design and infrastructure. Relates to LCZ-05	Alec Duncan	288.132	Support	Supports this policy to the extent that it seeks to ensure sufficient infrastructure provision and/or mitigation measures to meet demand, including consideration of the effects of climate change.	Retain as notified.	Open Submission