

**DECISION NUMBER**    **5097/2022**

**IN THE MATTER**            of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (“Act”).

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER**            of an application by **BOP Brewery Incorporated** for a Special Licence pursuant to s.137 of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (“Act”), in relation to a music festival event described as ‘Juicy Music Festival’.

**BEFORE THE NAPIER DISTRICT LICENSING COMMITTEE**

Chairman:    Mr Stuart Hylton  
Member:      Mr Dave Fellows  
Member:      Mr Ross Pinkham

**HEARING** at the Napier War Memorial Centre on Monday 5 December, 2022.

**APPEARANCES**

<b>Mr Glenn Meikle</b>	Applicant
<b>Carla Steed</b>	On behalf of Applicant – Event Director
<b>Ben Vincent</b>	On behalf of Applicant – Event Insurer
<b>Mr D Waugh</b>	Napier District Council Alcohol Licensing Inspector – in opposition
<b>Mr Ray Wylie</b>	Sergeant, NZ Police – in opposition
<b>Mr Andrew Graham</b>	Sergeant, NZ Police – to give Police evidence
<b>Dr Bridget Wilson</b>	Medical Officer of Health – in opposition

## DECISION OF THE COMMITTEE

### **Application**

[1] The Napier District Licensing Committee received a special licence (on-licence type) application (SP5097) from **BOP Brewery Incorporated** (“Applicant”), in relation to a music event described as ‘Juicy Music Festival’.

[2] The application was made on the 28 October 2022 and the proposed site for the music festival is the ‘Tremain Field’ situated at 9 Clyde Jeffery Drive, Poraiti, Napier.

[3] The applicant seeks a licence for the following date and times –

- **Thursday 5<sup>th</sup> January 2023, 1.00pm to 10.30pm**

[4] The Council manages this public venue and has given consent of use the site for this event. The licence shall apply to the entire area known as Tremain Field. This site is a sports ground used primarily for club rugby matches. This will be the first time this venue has been used for an event of this type. The venue is quite large and covers the area of approximately two rugby fields plus the surrounding area. It has seven foot high fences which completely surround the entire venue.

[5] There around 10,800 tickets available for this event. The event is part of a ‘Juicyfest’ summer series of music events across Australia and NZ featuring notable ‘HipHop and R and B’ artists performing at eight separate locations. The NZ locations are Napier, Tauranga, Palmerston North, Auckland and Whangarei spanning 5 January to 11 January 2023.

[6] The event is R18 and a ‘Restricted’ designation is sought. Two certified managers were listed to manage the licence.

[7] The application included an Alcohol Management Plan.

[8] BOP Brewery Limited is a private registered company with two directors and three shareholders, first registered in 1996. The applicant has previously held three special licences for events in Napier over the years. Two in 2011 and a third in 2019, at the Pettigrew Green Arena.

[9] Whilst BOP Brewery Limited have applied to manage the special licence for the event, the event is being organised by Juicy Festival Limited and managed by Cactus Events. Red Badge Security were listed as providing security for the event.

### **Statutory Reports and Opposition**

[10] The application was made under s.137 of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 and were sent to the Police, Medical Officer of Health and Inspector for their statutory reports.

[11] Section 141 reports were received from the Inspector, Police and Medical Officer of Health. All three reporting agencies filed reports in opposition.

## **Police Opposition**

[12] The Police filed a report in 'opposition' on 11 November 2022, raising the following matters in opposition.

- **“142(1)(f) the days on which and the hours during which the applicant proposes to sell alcohol:** *The applicant seeks a licence allowing alcohol sales to take place from 1pm – 10.30pm, allowing patrons onsite from 12pm-11pm. Police believe the hours sought are excessive.*

- **142(1)(g) the design and layout of the premises:** *The applicant estimates 10,800 people will attend the event.*

*Police are concerned crowding is likely to occur given the expected number of attendees, amount of space taken up by the stage, vendors, bars and other infrastructure. Crowding occurring on the premises will impede security, management and police to safely and effectively deal with any issues of intoxication, disorder or other events requiring intervention. Medical staff will also be impeded by crowding.*

*The Alcohol Management Plan (AMP) details a 'pen' system of serving alcohol. Police have first-hand experience with the licensee implementing this system where it (pen system) failed to deliver the alcohol/intoxication controls as promised.*

- **142(1)(e) whether (in its opinion) the amenity and good order of the locality would be likely to be reduced, to more than a minor extent, by the effects of the issue of the licence:** *The planned premises for the event is situated within an area the Black Power gang consider to be their 'turf'. Gang members and affiliates of the Black Power are known to staunchly defend areas such as this from competing gangs. Police experience with the genre of music on offer is such that people with strong gang affiliations will attend the event in high numbers and will need to be managed effectively. The applicant has not provided a security operations plan or a 'dot' plan giving any information on the positioning of guards, accurate ratio of guards to patrons, how they will deal with intergang/serious conflict or confirmed a security firm has been engaged for the event at all.*
- **142(1)(h) whether the applicant has appropriate systems, staff, and training to comply with the law:** *The applicant has listed two certificated*

managers. The application and supporting documents indicate there will be 4 discrete bar areas and that managers will also be available in different areas to conduct intoxication assessments. Police submit 2 managers is insufficient given the number of bars, potential points of sale and large crowd.

The AMP states 'At least 75% of security personnel will hold a current Certificate of Approval as in accordance with the Private Security Personnel and Private Investigators Act 2010'. A COA is a requirement in order to be engaged as a crowd controller employee. No security personnel numbers have been provided with the application.

Based on the application and lack of detailed information therein, Police are not satisfied that the sale, supply and consumption of alcohol will be undertaken safely and responsibly; and that the harm caused by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol will be minimised.

In short police do not believe the object of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act will be met.”

### **Inspector Opposition**

[13] The Inspector filed a comprehensive report of 'opposition' to the application on 23 November 2022. The Inspector's grounds for opposition relate to section 142 of the Act and mirror those of the Police. The Inspector stated in his report -

**“s.142 (1)(a)**

The Object of the Act. I believe the nature of this events is going to lead to alcohol related harm and therefore will not meet the object of the Act.

**s.142(1)(e)**

Amenity and good order. The nature of this event and the crowd dynamic it will attract is highly likely to lead to a significant effect on the amenity and good order of the area where the event is located.

**s.142(1)(f)**

The hours which alcohol will be sold. The applicant is seeking a licence to sell alcohol for up to 9 hours to nearly 11,000 people in the hottest part of the year. I know the gates to the event will open at 12.00pm and close again at 11.00pm. The first act is scheduled to start at 1.00pm. 9 hours of drinking in the sun under these circumstances is excessive.

**s.142(1)(g)**

The design and layout of the premises. As stated above, the venue is an unknown quantity for concerts. By comparison, the area utilized by Church Road for concerts is similar in size to the area for this event. That venue has a capacity to comfortably

accommodate about 8000 patrons. This event will have nearly 4000 more patrons and is similar in size. Pushing that many people into a space that size will inevitably cause issues.

**s.142(1)(h)**

Staff, training and systems to comply with the law. *The Alcohol Management Plan “AMP”, supplied with this application is 50 pages long. The great majority of this is very generic information. There is very little information from the applicant about how they will manage the risks associated with a high risk event like this. Given the high likelihood of a significant gang presence at this event, I would like to see how the applicant is going to screen the patrons entering the event. What lengths will they go to, to keep gang members, their associates and generally their supporters from entering this event?*

[14] In his report the Inspector noted that he had spoken with Inspectors in Palmerston North and Hamilton. *“They have indicated that they will also be opposing this event in their districts. They share the same concerns over this event that I, and the other agencies do”.*

**Medical Officer of Health Opposition**

[15] The Medical Officer of Health provided a report in opposition dated 11 November 2022. Key concerns raised in the Medical Officer of Health’s report were –

- *“The Juicy Festival risk assessment scores a high-risk based on the Te Whatu Ora (Health NZ) screening tool. This is mainly because the event is a Festival/Concert, with large number of attendees, and long hours of alcohol sales”,*
- *“There are also some inconsistencies regarding the sale of alcohol times that raises our concerns. For example, on page 45 of the event Alcohol Management Plan (AMP) it states that “The bar will close 30 minutes prior to the conclusion of the event”. The application document requests the sale of alcohol as being between 1:00pm and 10:30pm (page 3). This is consistent with page 4 of the AMP as it states that the Bar Opens at 1:00pm and Bar Closes at 10:30pm – however, the above seems to be inconsistent with Final Bar Serves being at 10:00pm (page 4), and bar closing 30 minutes prior to conclusion of the event (page 45) in the AMP”.*

[16] Subsequently the matter was set down for hearing and parties to proceedings notified via a Direction Notice issued by this Committee on 25 November 2022.

## Hearing General

### Applicants Evidence

[17] The applicant, Glenn Meikle, was supported and represented by the following people during the hearing who all gave evidence and were able to answer questions and generally assist proceedings. All evidence from these persons is attributed to the applicant in this decision.

- Carla Steed – of Cactus Events who is nominated as Event Director,
- Ben Vincent – Aon Insurance, Event Insurer
- Kevin Murphy – Council, Events and Marketing
- Garry Wyatt – Event Security

[18] The applicant produced a number of documents and further evidence before and during the hearing, including but not limited to –

- Security Operational Plan dated 30 November 2022
- Approval letter from Council to operate an alcohol licence for the event
- Specific answers to issues raised by the three reporting agencies
- Notes from a Good Vibes Hastings event debrief meeting held on 23 March 2021
- Letter from Sergeant Brown, NZ Police to the applicant, dated 12 April, 2019, expressing thanks for support and great work over the 2018/19 summer events season in the Western Bay of Plenty
- References from 2019 from the Bay Oval Trust, Bay Venues Tauranga, Bay of Plenty Rugby Union, Tauranga Arts Festival, in relation to the applicant
- References to three meetings between Carla Steed, Event Director, Kevin Murphy, Napier City's Event Co-Ordinator, Napier Mayor, Napier Police, applicant, P4G Security and Napier Officers, where gang issues were raised
- List of Bar Staff experience and roles
- Further details around the 'pen' system of serving alcohol and how it would work
- Information from a Tauranga Council officer around an event held at Wharepai Domain, the number of people attending and square meterage
- Evidence of Yellow to Green card system in operation at Baydreams North event 2021

[19] The applicant generally submitted that they were an experienced team with many years' experience running multiple events throughout various Districts. They aim to work with the various agencies, including Council, to plan for and run a successful

event. Whilst this event was the first of its kind in nature and venue, the applicant is planning to run similar events over the next four years.

[20] At the time of hearing the applicant said all the artists as advertised were booked, had contracts for and were confirmed to play at all the events. They acknowledged that planning and event details were not as forthcoming and expedient as they had hoped due to a number of factors which could best be encapsulated as 'life post Covid'.

[21] The applicant advised they were now using P4G and USO Keepers as security for the event instead of Red Band. They also advised that a previous event they ran in the Hawkes Bay which did not go so well, was largely due to the security firms i.e. Red Badge, inability to perform their functions. This was largely why they were now using P4G.

[22] Other key pieces of information and proposed changes to the application the applicant presented at the hearing were:

- An extra seven certified managers who will be involved in the event additional to the two named on the application,
- Confirmation that the event will be R18 and designated 'Restricted' and that there is a two-drink per person limit on all sales, no wines or spirits and all alcoholic beverages have an ABV of no more than 5%,
- Advice on how the Yellow/Red card system will work, especially in conjunction with the RFID system for purchase,
- Advice of security numbers, roles, rehydration tents, hydration tactics, litter management systems, clothing available to replace or cover gang type clothing etc.
- The applicant advised they were happy to amend their bar licence hours in response to concerns from the agencies, from 1.00pm to 10.30pm to 1.30pm to 9.00pm,
- The applicant also advised they wanted to run a varied alcohol purchase area configuration to the 'pen' system originally detailed in the AMP, to a hybrid 'Disney/Pen' version,
- Details on the number of event bus's (10), including processes to look after patrons evicted from the venue or intoxicated, including those to assist Police,

- In conjunction with Police and Council there was an Alcohol Ban being put in place for the area outside the event venue, as well as a road closure for Clyde Jeffery Drive including traffic management plan incorporating buses, taxi's etc accessing the venue entrance,
- There were 'division crowd barriers' between the VIP/GA areas to assist security/police access requirements,
- The applicant had worked with Council and plan to take noise readings during the event to ensure noise rules were being adhered to and mitigation measures taken if required,
- The applicant intends to engage an independent assessment of the event to assess improvement areas for future events, as well as offering to share ticket holder information with Police prior to the event,

[23] The applicant advised they had attended meetings where the Mayor and Council said they had talked to three gang leaders who had advised the gangs would not be looking to create any conflict at the event. Under questioning the applicant admitted there was no evidence of these conversations or with whom specifically.

### **Police Evidence**

[24] The Police's initial opposition is detailed in paragraph [12].

[25] The Police noted the issues that they raised at a meeting held with event organisers on the 15 July 2022, where it was stated what needed to be addressed through the required AMP, Security Management Plan and application. It was also noted at the meeting that the application needed to be made early so that potential issues could be addressed.

[26] The Police contended that the applicant had not discussed or consulted with Police since that meeting up until the application was submitted on 31 October 2022. The required security management plan was missing from the application as lodged.

[27] Police stated concern with the venue which was in an area of Black Power 'turf'. The risk of gang members reacting badly to the presence of other gangs, perceived or otherwise, was raised with event organisers. Police advised gang tensions in the 'Bay' were currently high. Sergeant Wylie and Graham gave evidence to this effect and said Police attempts to diffuse friction through discussions with gang leaders had had little effect on negative behaviours.

[28] Sergeant Wylie and Graham both told the Committee the event genre would be very attractive to gang affiliates and therefore in their opinion rival gang members would be attending the event. They also said the type of genre tends to attract heavier

than normal drinking and drug use. Overcrowding affected Police/security ability to police and control issues at the event.

[29] Police gave evidence of other Hawkes Bay events which attracted gangs and resulted in inter gang incidents. Under questioning the police admitted some of these events did not have the same conditions and processes in place that the 'Juicy' event would have.

[30] Police also raised concern about the effect of sun and alcohol would have on event attendees in the height of summer and with little shading offered at the venue.

### **Inspectors Evidence**

[31] The Inspectors initial concerns and opposition are contained with paragraphs [13] and [14].

[32] The Inspector also noted that he had attended the same meeting with Sergeant Wylie and event organisers on the 15 July 2022 where the Juicy Festival was discussed. Both he and Sergeant Wylie were clear with the applicant on three points:

- Potential issues with the event location
- Area is where gangs would highly likely pose an issue
- Don't delay in submitting the application to allow enough time for the reporting process

[33] The application was not applied for until 28 October 2022.

[34] The AMP provided, according to the Inspector, was very generic and did not address specific issues posed by the event and location. The hours are the longest for an event of this nature that the Inspector had seen in his licensing career.

[35] The Inspector told the hearing that Tremain Field appeared to be a location that could function well as a venue but had never been used before for an event like this. The venue was an 'unknown quantity' although 'had potential', he said.

[36] Under questioning the Inspector said he was still opposed to the application based on the concerns that he shared with the Police that the event has a high risk of causing alcohol related harm.

### **MOH Evidence**

[37] The Medical Officer of Health's initial concerns are detailed in paragraph [15].

[38] The Medical Officer of Health stated her concerns were similar to that of the Police and Inspector, with the festival nature of the event, the large number of attendees and the relatively long hours of alcohol sales, having the potential to cause significant alcohol related harm i.e. object of the Act.

[39] Dr Wilson asked the Committee to ensure it was satisfied with the systems the applicant would put in place to work with Police and the Council to minimise harm to the community.

[40] Dr Wilson was satisfied with the additional measures made by the applicant at the hearing, especially the reduction in hours of alcohol sale.

## **Decision and reasons**

[41] The Committee agrees with the Agencies concerns that the application was relatively late for a large, complex, new event such as this, and that the information offered with the application was somewhat generic or missing in some areas. That said, we understand the realities of organising large events post covid aren't without their practical complexities.

[42] We stated at the hearing that all parties could take learnings from this application process around pre-planning, application timings and processes. Whilst we commend parties for their willingness to hear the matter under some urgency, it's never ideal to hear the matter so late in proceedings and receive so much new information and evidence.

[43] We note the tri-agencies were right to have concerns with the application as presented and to furnish reports in opposition. The tri-agencies were united in their concerns, most of which the Committee shared when viewing the initial application.

[44] In considering this application the Committee must have regard to the criteria under s.142 of the Act i.e.

### **Section 142**

*(1) In deciding whether to issue a special licence, the licensing committee concerned must have regard to the following matters:*

*(a) the object of this Act:*

*(b) the nature of the particular event for which the licence is sought and, in particular,—*

*(i) whether the applicant is engaged in, or proposes at the event to engage in, the sale of goods other than alcohol, low-alcohol refreshments, non-alcoholic refreshments, and food, and if so, which goods; and*

*(ii) whether the applicant is engaged in, or proposes at the event to engage in, the provision of services other than those directly related to the sale of alcohol, low-alcohol refreshments, non-alcoholic refreshments, and food, and if so, which services:*

*(c) the suitability of the applicant:*

*(d) any relevant local alcohol policy:*

*(e) whether (in its opinion) the amenity and good order of the locality would be likely to be reduced, by more than a minor extent, by the effects of the issue of the licence:*

*(f) the days on which and the hours during which the applicant proposes to sell alcohol:*

*(g) the design and layout of the premises concerned:*

*(h) whether the applicant has appropriate systems, staff and training to comply with the law:*

*(i) any areas of the premises that the applicant proposes should be designated as restricted areas or supervised areas:*

*(j) any steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure that the requirements of this Act in relation to the sale and supply of alcohol to prohibited persons are observed:*

*(k) the applicant's proposals relating to—*

*(i) the sale and supply of non-alcoholic drinks and food; and*

*(ii) the sale and supply of low-alcohol drinks; and*

*(iii) the provision of help with or information about alternative forms of transport from the premises:*

*(l) any matters dealt with in any report from the Police, the Medical Officer of Health, or an inspector made under [section 141](#).*

[45] After considering all the evidence before it against s. 142 matters, the Committee addresses the following matters as raised in opposition by the agencies.

### **Suitability**

[46] The Police, and to a lesser degree the Inspector and MOH by association, raised some concern around the applicant's suitability. This was premised around issues noticed during other events run by the applicant, the lateness of the application, the lack of liaison during the pre-application phase with tri-agencies and the gaps in information associated with the original application.

[47] Whilst the Committee acknowledges these concerns, on balance we believe the applicant presented as a very experienced event organiser/licensee with few blemishes noted without due explanation. We are satisfied with the level of information presented at the hearing, albeit post application.

[48] The applicant had undertaken the right processes in engaging early with the likes of the Mayor, Council, Police, security, and the Inspector. We agree the applicant probably erred in not continuing to liaise with tri-agencies leading up to application lodgement.

[49] In totality the Committee found the applicant suitable to hold a special licence of this nature. The applicant and the events supporting persons presented professionally at the hearing and gave the Committee a level of confidence that they could run a good event in accordance with systems presented and statutory requirements of a licence.

### **Amenity and Good Order**

[50] The Committee was satisfied with the systems mentioned by the applicant to address noise, crowd behaviour inside and outside the venue (save gang issues), litter, traffic etc. The proposed noise readings during the event, alcohol ban outside the venue, traffic management plan and road closure, are all good initiatives to mitigate against the potential for lost amenity and good order.

[51] There was a lot made at the hearing around the potential for gang tension and disturbances both inside and outside the venue. We acknowledge the Police's concerns and intelligence in this regard, especially the concern the venue is in a Black Power area where the likelihood this type of event will attract gang types to attend.

[52] To some degree the Committee came away feeling that it's almost inevitable that some gang affiliates will present at most large events in Napier. We have to weigh up the likelihood of this happening at this event, to what degree any potential disturbance may cause on amenity and good order and whether the systems that are proposed are sufficient to mitigate and manage this potential.

[53] The Mayor and Councillors efforts to talk to gang leaders, whilst admirable, cannot hold much weight in our deliberation as the evidence around what happened with whom was sketchy at best and simply 'hearsay'.

[54] However, we were encouraged by the systems event organisers, security, staff and Police were proposing to implement for the event that would all contribute to lessen and manage any gang related incidents. It allows us some comfort to stop short of not approving this special licence based on the threat of local gang tension. We understand the concerns around the untried venue but note the Inspectors comment that it has 'promise'.

[55] Finally on this matter, the Committee notes the involvement and support of the Mayor and Council of Napier for the event, their involvement with planning of the event and their desire to test the venue for an event of this nature. As elected representatives of the Community they have some insight into assessing the amenity and good order concerns such an event creates for this community. We would not expect their support if the amenity and good order was expected to be compromised by more than a minor extent through this event.

### **Hours**

[56] The Committee shared the concerns of the agencies around the length of the time the bars would be open. We were pleased to hear the applicant offer to reduce the opening and closing licence hours for the licence.

## **Design and Layout**

[57] There was a lot made through the reports of the venue's smallish area however the Committee was satisfied after hearing evidence of other venue area/patron ratio's that this venue could work with the systems proposed. We were comfortable with the layout systems proposed by the applicant at the hearing.

## **Appropriate systems, staff and training to comply with the law**

[58] Again, by the end of the hearing, the Committee had a level of comfort with the systems, staff and training of those involved in the event. The Security Manager and Event Manager gave the Committee a level of confidence in the systems offered and their adherence during the event.

[59] Police did raise dissatisfaction with the suggested 'Pen' bar system. The Committee acknowledges this concern and is more comfortable with the 'Disney' system discussed at the hearing.

## **Supply of alcohol to prohibited persons - steps**

[60] As stated above, the Committee were comfortable with the systems presented at the hearing by the applicant to ensure sales to prohibit persons are observed. This appeared to be best practice for such events.

## **Food, non-alcoholic drinks, low alcohol drinks and transport**

[61] The Committee was satisfied with the provisions, plans and ratios offered in this regard.

## **Object of the Act**

[62] Lastly, the Committee is required to consider the application in light of the object of the Act. That is having regard to the criteria in s.142, and having balanced the evidence before it, the Committee is required to consider whether the grant of the licence was consistent with the Act's object of minimising alcohol-related harm.

***The object of this Act is that—***

***(a) the sale, supply, and consumption of alcohol should be undertaken safely and responsibly; and***

***(b) the harm caused by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol should be minimised.***

***(2) For the purposes of subsection (1), the harm caused by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol includes—***

***(a) any crime, damage, death, disease, disorderly behaviour, illness, or injury, directly or indirectly caused, or directly or indirectly contributed to, by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol; and***

***(b) any harm to society generally or the community, directly or indirectly caused, or directly or indirectly contributed to, by any crime, damage, death, disease, disorderly behaviour, illness, or injury of a kind described in paragraph (a).***

[63] On balance and considering all the evidence before it, the Committee is satisfied that grant of the special licence is consistent with the Act's object for the reasons mentioned above.

## **Conclusion**

[64] The Committee notes the residual opposition expressed by the Police and to a lesser degree the Inspector and Medical Officer of Health. Whilst we share a level of that concern, the Committee is satisfied that the additional information and evidence presented at the hearing meets the statutory threshold for a license to be issued on this occasion for this event.

[65] In reaching this conclusion the Committee notes the additional information and systems offered by the applicant, primarily expressed in paragraph [22], were important in getting this application 'over the line' and as such needs to be reflected in a revised Alcohol Management Plan and Security Management Plan.

[66] For the reasons stated, the application by **BOP Brewery Incorporated** for a special licence in relation to the proposed venue at 9 Clyde Jeffery Drive, Poraiti, Napier, **is approved.**

[67] The application for special licence can be issued once the 10 working days for any appeal has elapsed and a revised Alcohol Management Plan and Security Management Plan for the event is supplied incorporating matters contained in paragraph [22], to the satisfaction of the Committee.

[68] The licence will be subject to the following conditions:

- ***Alcohol may only be sold under the licence on the following days and during the following hours:***

***Thursday 5th January 2023 1:30pm to 9:00pm***

- ***Drinking water shall be freely available on the premises as specified in the application and at every bar.***

- ***The licence holder must display appropriate signs adjacent to every point of sale detailing the statutory restrictions on the supply of alcohol to minors and the complete prohibition on sales to intoxicated persons.***
- ***Food must be available for consumption on the premises as specified in the application.***
- ***Low-alcohol beverages must be available for sale and supply on the premises as specified in the application.***
- ***Non-alcoholic beverages must be available for sale and supply on the premises as specified in the application.***
- ***The licensee must provide assistance with or information about alternative forms of transport from the premises as specified in the application.***
- ***A copy of this licence, together with signs showing the age restriction must be clearly displayed.***
- ***Alcohol must only be sold, supplied and consumed within the area marked on the plan submitted with the application.***
- ***The whole licensed area is designated as ‘restricted’.***
- ***The licensee must operate the licence in conformity with the approved Alcohol Management Plan and Security Management Plan.***
- ***The licensee must take the following steps to ensure that the provisions of this Act relating to the management of premises are observed:***

***That at least 50% of the listed certified managers in the application be present at any time the licence is in operation.***

[69] The appeal provisions under s.154 and 155 of the Act apply to any party to proceedings who is dissatisfied with the decision or any part of the decision.

**DATED** at Napier this the 6<sup>th</sup> day of December 2022



.....  
Chairman – Stuart Hylton